

May 9, 1975

additional information. It was the thought of the Ag committee and I think that all parties on all sides of this question that lets get some information before we take any further steps. Now, this bill then represents a concession of sorts, compromise. It is the first step to get the information. I personally believe that when the information is obtained that when it is collected that we will discover that the legislation that was proposed is not appropriate legislation. But, I repeat that it is the first step in getting information that can either substantiate that there is something that can be done, that there is an invasion by the conglomerates that corporations are taking over and destroying the family farm, or that it will document the contrary, that agriculture is going through evolutionary changes and changing in certain ways but it is not about to be destroyed. I get back to the amendments and suggest to you that the amendment will have the effect probably of killing the bill. Don't adopt the amendment unless you want to kill the bill. I give you the history of the bill going back 3 or 4 years to show that this is finally a compromised first step.

PRESIDENT: Senator Swigart.

SENATOR SWIGART: Mr. President and colleagues. Could I ask Senator Mills a question please. Senator Mills, I thought that our object in the whole bill was to get an inventory as to what corporations owned in this state, and to kind of keep a watch on it so whenever they brought in so that we would know what was going on, but I don't see any relationship in making every individual like a regementation in Russia, to sign up. Is it true that every individual in this state who owns a farm, regardless of what size would have to register and fill out those forms?

SENATOR MILLS: Yes Senator Swigart and I would like to make a comment on what you said there that were going to kind of watch these corporations and if they get too big that then we will do something to them. My point here is that there were people here Senator Swigart that were listening to that testimony who felt that there are individuals who are too big and we want to do something to them. In other words if we have one individual who happens to own 40,000 acres of land that may be more than what a corporation owns so I think that maybe those people who are in favor of corporate farming are wanting to limit, not appropriations but free enterprise.

SENATOR SWIGART: Thank you very much. I quite agree that the individual ought to be curbed and I think what were fearful of is the conglomerate corporation and perhaps even a foreign country could take over huge sections of our state and I haven't seen that happen as an individual so I am very much opposed to adding the individual to this bill. I agree that it would kill the bill.

CLERK: Read Burbach amendment.

PRESIDENT: Senator Burbach.